

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/855,972	05/15/2001	Kevin P. Martin	062002-1751	1603
	90 06/11/2002			
Scott A. Horstemeyer Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, L.L.P. Suite 1500 100 Galleria Parkway N.W. Atlanta, GA 30339			EXAMINER	
			HASSANZADEH, PARVIZ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/855,972	MARTIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Parviz Hassanzadeh	1763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n app ars on the cov r sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty decired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTS.	(30) days will be considered timely.  13 from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur Disposition of Claims	llowance except for formal matte nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 19-35 is/are pending in the appli	cation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-35</u> are subject to restriction ar <b>Application Papers</b>	nd/or election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection					
11) $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $\_$					
If approved, corrected drawings are required i					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li></ul>	oriority documents have been re I Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	ceived in this National Stage			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has been	n received			
Attachment(s)	- p under 00 0.0.0. 35	5 -20 GHG/OF 12 I.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3			

Application/Control Number: 09/855,972

Art Unit: 1763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1 - Embodiment 1, pages , 14-15;

Species 2 - Embodiment 2, pages , 15-16;

Species 3 - Embodiment 3, pages , 16-18.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are fully generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 09/855,972

Art Unit: 1763

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Michael J. Tempel on 6/4/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Parviz Hassanzadeh whose telephone number is (703)308-2050. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Mills can be reached on (703)308-1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,972

Art Unit: 1763

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

P. Wassanzadeh
Parviz Hassanzadeh

Examiner Art Unit 1763

June 4, 2002